

Key Decision Details

Decision Name Structure Review Working Group - Findings

Date of Decision 02 April 2009

Decision maker Cabinet

Approved in principle the recommendation's of the Structure Review Working Group, subject to the views of the Overview and Scrutiny Panels (Service Delivery) and (Service Support) and the Corporate Governance Panel (7th, 14th and 16th April respectively) and the following specific comments:

Describe the Decision taken

- recommendation (b) – subject to the agreement of financial implications;
- recommendation (e) – subject to further consideration as to the balance between evening and day time meetings;
- recommendation (h) – voting rights for co-opted members not supported;
- recommendation (m) – subject to clarification on how independent members will be included in choosing the subject of debate;
- recommendation (n) – subject to there being an opportunity for independent Members to choose the subject of debate;
- recommendation (o) – subject to the deletion of the word “annual”;
- recommendation (p) – not supported;
- recommendation (q) – subject to questions being

- addressed to all elected Members;
- recommendation (s) – subject to the evaluation of the success of the trial;
 - recommendation (w) – that the Standards Committee continue to meet at 4.00pm with the flexibility to change this if necessary;
 - recommendation (z) – that the Corporate Governance Panel continue to meet at 6.00pm with the flexibility to change this if necessary;
 - recommendation (aa) – that the Working Group be requested to give further consideration to the membership of the Panel and to seek the views of ELAG;
 - recommendation (hh) – subject to it excluding applications to significant partnerships where other arrangements already apply;
 - recommendation (rr) – that the Working Group be invited to consider alternative options for funding.

What were the reasons for taking the Decision? In response to an extensive review by the Working Group

What alternative options were considered and rejected?

Conflict of interest and dispensation None

Is the decision a Key Decision? Yes

Was the decision Yes

**included in the Forward
Plan**

**Was the decision
subject to the urgency
proceedings?** No

**List the background
papers to any report
considered by the
Decision Taker** None.

**Person Making this
report** Mrs Helen Taylor

**Date upon which the
Decision will come into
force, subject to no
call-in** 10 April 2009

**Accompanying
Documents** cabinetfinal2ndaprilpdf